G. R. S. 59] (GTB—10 000- 20 12 1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-1899

~~191~~.

(Inungai)

File No. 6

(Section 8

Serial Nos. 1-31.

Papers Filed.

Month ~~August~~ 1908

SUBJECT.

File relating to the order confirming the appointment - Rama ~~pandit~~ - pethhit, as Archka of Sri Kola Ranganadha Swami Temple at Nagapatti in place of one Kannan pethhit dismissed from service.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the prayer of Kumara Deekshita for his reinstatement in the office of the Archakship of the Sri Rameswaraswami and other temples in Maçadi Fort.

From

Kumara Deekshita Maçadi

Petition No. 2646 Dated 9th December 1905.

× No 2646 dy 9 12 05

Kumara Deekshita, late Archak of the Sri Rameswaraswami and other temples at Maçadi has preferred an × appeal to the Dewan Saheb praying for a reconsideration of the order dismissing him from service, and the petition has been forwarded for submission in due course.

The Govt, after a careful perusal of the connected records, directed in their Order of the 17th July 1903, the removal of the said Archak from office. He then submitted a memorial to H H the Maharaja and the entire file was

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

thereupon submitted to His Highness as called for which was returned after perusal The file on the subject is herewith submitted

As regards the complaint of the petitioner that the Dharmadarsi Swappa has been misappropriating temple property, a report has been called for from the Deputy Commissioner, and on receipt of the same, the matter will be submitted for orders

Submitted
Rs
27/12/05

The Petitioner may be informed that the orders already passed regarding him are final

V Ananta Ram Rao
27/12/05
Camp Shimoga

Subd to the Dewan through the 2d Cr
No 145
Read
30.12.05

I agree
2/1/06.

10609/4.1.06 10681

Read 3/1/06 My

Yes
6.1.06

Read 8/1/06 My

Dft submitted 8/1/06

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Revival of worship in the Sri Rameswara temple in the Fort, Magadi.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

Rubkari No. 312, Dated 9th December 1905

In the above cited communication the Deputy Commissioner reports that Sadasiva Deekshit, Saiva Agama Archak of the Sri Rameswara temple at Magadi resigned and that in consequence of the difficulty of procuring another Archak conversant with the Saiva Agama Sastra and also on account of the repairs required to be done to the temple worship was not-being performed for some time past. He further reports that the repairs have been completed and solicits orders of Govt

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

as to whether worship may be revived in the temple and conducted according to the Vydeka Agama method

A draft order sanctioning the Deputy Commissioner's proposal is submitted for approval.

Submitted
ks

Rather than stop the Puja in the temple it seems desirable to have the worship done according to the Vydeka Agama. A draft to that effect is put up.

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst. } R Ananda Rama Rao 16/1/06

160 / 17.1.06 Submitted for orders. 1088 / 20.1.06

19/1/06

1090.

20/1/06

Move (no objection) to approve of the proposal of the Secy.

4.2.06

Read 9.2.06

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued

Orders were accordingly issued directing
ro. no. 3349 dy 16:2:06. The conduct of worship according to
Vaidika Agama, owing to the difficulty
of procuring an Archak versed in
the Saivagama Sastra.

One Agamik Subrahmanya Deekshita
of Bangalore having subsequently
petitioned this office offering to take
up the Archak's post and conduct
worship in the temple according
to Saivagama, his petition was
referred for disposal to the Dy Commr.
(Paper under disposal) That officer has submitted a report
on a petition from the residents
of Nagadi on the revival of Puje
according to Saivagama System.
He reports that one Rama Deekshita
Conversant with both the Tantras

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

PB—:,

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

is available, and that he is willing to do the puja service if he is appointed permanently, and requests sanction to the revival of the Saivagama puja and the appointment of Rama Deekshith as Archak of the temple.

Sanction is also requested for a sum of Rs 100/- for defraying the necessary Samprokshane expenses.

The Dy. Commissioner states that the realizations from the temple lands during the past 2 years have not been intimated by the Amildar ~~are not~~, known and requests that sanction may be accorded to meet the Samprokshane expenses for a sum not exceeding the said realizations.

Subject H.S.

Memo

There appears to be

Muz. 81]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

No objection to approve of the Dy Comrs proposals.

316

Subd to the 2nd Dr. Chandra Sane Rao 28/1/07

I think 20 Rs

Rec 29.1.07

Draft accdy 29/1/07

Dft Submtd.

Issued 1/2/07

Note continued :—

Pro: No 3840 dy 8.2.07

Orders were issued as directed above. Kumara Deekshit presented 3 petitions to this Office praying that the Archakship might be conferred

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

GPB—3,000—2-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

on one Muddappa Deekshit. These
petns having been forwarded to the
Dy Commr., Bangalore, that Officer
reports that Mr. C. Devaraj Asst
Asst Commr who enquired into
the matter is of opinion that
Kumara Deekshit has quite changed
his behaviour & that he has been
keeping a good character. In consideration
of the miserable circumstances into
which Kumara Deekshit has been
thrown by his dismissal & the
punishment he has undergone for
the past 7 years, the Asst Commr
recommends Kumara Deekshit being
given a trial and appointed as
Archak or that any other man
related to him may be appointed
as such. The Dy Commr supports

* His No. 1892 25.6.07

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

the recommendation and says that Kumara Deekshit may be given an opportunity & placed in charge of the temple for one year on trial and confirmed after that period and that if Govt. considers it inexpedient, Muddappa Deekshit may be appointed as Archak.

Submitted for orders

Rs
6/7/07

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

P T O .

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

More than one person was appointed as the Archak of the Rameswara temple at Magadi since the dismissal of Kumara Deekshit, but they have all since left and the institution is left without worship being performed. The Deputy Commissioner recommends the reappointment of Kumara Deekshit (who he says, has improved in his conduct) ontrial for one year, or if that proposal is not approved, that his nominee Muddappa Deekshita may be appointed.

The past history of Kumara Deekshita as regards his moral conduct has been very bad and he was also instrumental in alienating the temple lands and pledging the temple jewels. It seems therefore undesirable in the interests of discipline to appoint him again as the Archak of the temple. As --- there is no other way for arranging the worship in the temple his nominee Muddappa Deekshit may be appointed Archak temporarily on trial for one year,

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

after the expiry of which final orders as to his con-
firmation or otherwise may be passed.

Submitted to the
2nd Councillor.

7th July 1907.

Approved

Draft

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Re the prayer of Kumara Deekshith of Magadi for restoration of the Archakship and the lands of certain private temples at the place

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

No. 42/1632 Dated 5th October 1904.

Under orders of Govt conveyed in their Proceedings of the 14th July 1903, Kumara Deekshith of Magadi was removed from the Archakship of the Sri Rameswara Swamy temple in the Magadi Fort. (Slip A).

He subsequently petitioned Govt. Complaining that lands belonging to certain private temples wherein he was conducting worship, were brought under attachment, that the produce of his private lands in Hosahalli was kept under deposit, and praying that they (Slip B).

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

may be restored to him

These petitions were forwarded to the Deputy Commr., Bangalore Distt., and that officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the Vernacular records on the subject

The Amildar reports that the (Skip d
Panchalingeswara comprising of Kasi-visweswara, Seikhanteswara, Nila-khanteswara and two Eswara temples situated within the precincts of the Rameswaraswamy temple, and Gavi Gangadhareswara and Prasanna Rames-wara temples referred to by the petitioner are in the enjoyment of either Cash grant or land Inam together with lands ensowed by private individuals, that dry land bearing Survey No. 52 in Hosahalli Grama was granted by Govt. for the benefit of Sri Kasi Visweswara-swamy temple referred to above, and that the lands are Brahmadaya. He also states that Kumara Deekshith

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

was enjoying these lands by virtue of his office which ceased with his removal

The Deputy Commissioner in

(Paper under disposal) submitting a detailed report, states, that the order of dismissal also debars the petitioner from interfering with properties endowed by private persons to institutions which are not under Govt. management.

From the extract of the Dharm register received from the Dharm Superintendent, it is observed that

(Slip D) the Eswara Devaru of Nagadi is in the enjoyment of a Vritti in Jadi Hosahalli Village entered for Puje and other services.

Submitted with a draft

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz. 8 A]

6.01.

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

(Slip E)

Note continued.

Enquiries were made of the Dy Commissioner as to why Kumara Deekshitha nominee Muddappa Deekshitha who was the Archak of the Sri Rameswara Swamy temple at Nagadi, might not be appointed to conduct worship in the minor temples situated within the precincts of the Rameswaraswamy temple.

x (dated 25th July 1908) Paper under disposal

The Dy. Commissioner x reports that Muddappa Deekshitha is dead and recommends the appointment of Rama Deekshitha as Archak of the minor temples referred to above

Submitted for orders

Vide Govt. Proc: No. 694-5 dy/4-8-08 On a Separate File

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190
(Govt order Dy 20th July 1907) Slip A.	Note continued Orders were accordingly issued sanctioning the temporary appointment of Muddappa Deekshith as Archak of the temple of Sri Rameswaraswamy at Nagadi.
Letter Dy 25th July 1908) Upon misc disposal.	The Dy Commissioner reports that the said individual is dead and recommends the appointment of Rama Deekshith as Archak of the temple.
P. Dy 28th March 1908)	The late Archak Kumara Deekshith has petitioned the Taluk office praying that the Inamawand may be registered in the name of the said Rama Deekshith. Copies of Kanans executed in private by both of them in favor of each other have also been received. Rama Deekshith agrees to pay towards

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

Kumara Deekshitar, late Archak of the temple, and the latter agrees to make over the Archakship to the former.

Submitted papers with a draft sanad for the Commissioner's consideration

M 1158/08 134 4.8.08

Submitted 2nd Sept 08

Approved KPN 8/8/08

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

No 3125

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2687
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

S[illegible]

Received
12/12/05
[illegible]

[illegible]

Submit for orders
[illegible]
21/12/05

This sort of difficulty occurs often
Put up a draft as suggested by the Dy Comm [illegible]
23/12/05
[illegible] Yedehalli
[illegible]

1905 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
[illegible]

C.S. Balasundaramayya
[illegible] Dy Commissioner

[illegible]

Muz. 21]

ನಂ. 27ಸಿ ಡಿ/24.12.05

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

ಮಂಗಳಂ ಯಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಸಾವ ಸೇಂಕರವರಿಗೆ ಭಾಷ್ಯಂ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು—

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೆಂಕರ ಮೊಹಿ ೧೧ ನೇ

ಮಳ್ಳಂ 2634 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಮುಖ್ಯ

ಕಂಪೌಂಡ್ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛವಾಗಿ ಬೆಂಕಿ ಹೂಂ ಆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗೆ

ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಿ

By order

Secretary

Camp Kalasa
17th Dece 1905.

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

SC 3

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2840 Enl. 2

The accompanying Petition No. 2644

dated ~~received at this~~ presented to the Dewan ~~Office from~~ by Kumara Veerabhadra,

praying for his restoration to the Puja offices of Vigneswara and other temples at Kalyadka ~~Grama~~, Nagara taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore Dist. for enquiry & report.

By Order,

Dewan's order 19/2/15

Secretary.
Muzrai Department.

Camp Kalasa
BANGALORE,
19-2-1915.

2/15

No 288

To Dy Comr.

Miscl

516

Read:-

Rubkari No. 312, dated 9th December 1905, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that Sadasiva Deekshit, Archak in the Sri Rameswara temple in the Fort at Nagadi, resigned, and that in consequence thereof, worship in the said temple which is regulated according to the Sanga Agama is not being performed owing to the difficulty in procuring an Archak versed in the Saiva Agama Sastra, and soliciting orders of Govt as to whether the worship in the said temple may be ordered to be revived and done according to the Vydeeka Agama.

Order thereon :— No. 3349 of 16.2.06

The Govt observe that the difficulty ~~of the kind~~ brought to notice by the Deputy Commissioner arises often at the stoppage of worship in the temple ~~on~~ ~~occasion~~ is undesirable, the proposal of the Deputy Commissioner to have the worship revived and performed according to the Vydeeka Agama is approved.

Sd by the Dewan
Dated 13/2/06

Sd Secretary, Muz Deptt

To

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore &c

From

Sl S

To The Dy. commr
Bangalore Dist

Dated
Despatched 2.4.08.
Received
General No. 4397
No.

Enclosure No one

Referring to Govt order
No 3349 of 16th Feby. 1906 sanc-
tioning the Dy Commrs proposal to revive
worship in the Sri Rameswara
temple in Nagadi Hart, according
to the Vydeeka Agama owing
to the difficulty in procuring an
Archak versed in the Saivaagama
Sastra, has the honor to for-
ward herewith for ~~report~~ disposal petition regd. no. 4029 dated
20th march 1906 from Agamk
Subramanya Deekshith of
Bangalore ~~praying to direct~~
~~the petr. States that during~~

~~re Suspension of the late~~

Archak Kumara Deekshith,
he was temporarily performing the Archak duties of the temple according to Saiva-gama Sastra; that the revival of Puje according to Vaideeka Agama is opposed to Sasteras and ominous to the ~~people. He prays that his~~ welfare of the Archak's posterity ~~services to~~ conduct worship in the temple according to Saiva Agama ~~may be kindly accepted~~

By order,

30/3/06

Secretary

No. 4398.

Trust. to the Exr.

29/3/06



No. 4983

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Revenue and General Secretariat.

S C 6

Rejes

( )

Bangalore, dated the 10th April 1906.

12/4/06

Forwarded in original to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department for disposal.

By Order,

Secretary.

List of Papers Forwarded.

Petition from Komari Dikshit, late archak of Kille Rameswara temple at Magady, appealing against the order of the Muzrai Secretary, confirming the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's order dispensing with his services of archakship &c., together with one enclosure.

ನ. 3917

ನವೆಂಬರ್ 7/1950

Murrai

No. 4900 D/21.4.06.

Endorsement granted to Komara Deekshit, late Archak of the Sri Rameswaraswami and other temples in the Mogadi Fort, in reply to his petition no 4345 D/ 4th April 1906, praying for a reconsideration of the order disrupting him from service.

Petr is informed that the orders already passed are final ~~and they are recording to Official~~

He is requested to the Endorsement - no 3064 dated

~~to interfere with the orders already passed.~~ 18th January 1906 already issued by him

Brander.

DFK

18/1/06

Secretary

ds
17/4/06

Muz 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT. Sl 10

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5462 Encl 3
~~municipality~~

The ~~accompanying~~ Petition No. ~~4937~~

~~dated 18. 4 06~~ received at this Office from Komara Deekshit ~~of Mulgaji~~, praying that one Sri brahmanya Deekshit may be appointed as Archak of the temples of Rameswara & Someswara ~~at Mulgaji~~ ~~of the place~~ as per Govt order no 14229 1. 6 98 is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt for enquiry & report

By Order.

Secretary.
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
23 5 1906.

No. 5463
Encl to Dy Comr Bl
22/5/06

w 4696 dated 2nd may 06

w 4868 dated 14th "

w 4937 dated 18th "

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT. Sl 11

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5957 Mysore

The accompanying Petition No. 5228

dated 23 5 05 received at this Office from Komara Deekshit of Melgoi stating that the lands belonging to the temples of Rameswara & Someswara have not been alienated by him & the Complaint made is a false one

is forwarded, together with its ~~together with its~~ enclosures, to the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt ~~for favour of enquiry & Disposal or Report if necessary~~

in continuation of the previous communications on the subject-

The Dy Comr is requested to hold a thorough enquiry and submit a detailed report on every point urged by the petitioner.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19 .

Encl to Supdt

Dft-

No: 5958/1228-G-06
25/6/06
Encl to Supdt

26/6/06
Secretary
Muzrai Dept

5606
17-6-06

Sl 12

అమ్మకానికి ఉన్నది

ఇంటి నెం. 6-1-1 అడ్డు రోడ్డు పక్కన

ఇంటి నెం. 6-1-1 అడ్డు రోడ్డు పక్కన

ఇంటి నెం. 6-1-1 అడ్డు రోడ్డు పక్కన

545

1
20/6/06

ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಹಿ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯಾಗಿ ನಾವು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು:

1. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಬಿನ್ ಲೇ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ
   ಕೃಷಿಕರು, ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

2. ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ ಕೋಂ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ
   ಗೃಹಿಣಿ, ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಬರೆದವರು:

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪ್ರಕಾಶ್
ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪ್ರೌಢಶಾಲೆ, ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ
ಇದರಿಂದ ಅವರು
ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಭಿಮಾನದಿಂದ
ಬಾಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 256 En/3.

5606 D/ 23-6-06
5549 D/ 16-6-06
5457 D/ 16-6-06

The accompanying Petition No. ~~Dated~~ marginally noted petitions received at this Office from the residents of Magadi soliciting orders of Government for the revival of Puje etc in the Rameswara & other Temples at Magadi according to Saivagama.

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt. in continuation of this Office No. ~~2640~~ 4397 dated ~~3-4-06~~ 2nd April 1906

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
13.7.1906

No. 257 D/13.7.06
Cutch to the papers
Ac/ 7/7/06

31/12/06

vide order
no. 3064 of 14th
Janu. 1906.
this may be
filed-

Mur. 5]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

190

From

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To The Dy: Commissioner Bangalore Dt

Dated

Despatched 21st Dec 1906

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3216 C/R
M. No.

This Office No. 4397 dated 2-4- 1906 ~~Soliciting~~ regarding ~~orders for~~ the revival of Puje etc in the Rameswara and other temples at Nagadi according to Saivagama and subsequent reminder marginally noted

No. 256 of 13-7-06

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request ~~to be informed as to what action has been taken in the matter and when a reply may be expected.~~ the favor of an early reply thereto.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

2. Petn Regd no 1690, dated 27th Nov. 1906, from Kumara Deekshit, on the subject is herewith forwarded

1906-7 SC 16

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

3465

To

The Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt.

Dated 18-

Despatched 19 January 1907.

Received

General No.

Jr No. 108

Enclosure No. 16 e.

AV. 21/1/07

note contd. and submts.

AV 24/1/07

His No. 256 of 13)-06.

Reports on the petition from the residents of Mapadi re the performance of puja of the Sri Kola Rameshwaraswami temple on the Saivagama system

M.S. 108
E. Hills.

Bangalore district
Dy. Commissioner's Office
18. January 1907.

From
H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore dist.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Mazrai Deptt.

Sir,

With reference to his Official memo No. 256 of 18. July 1906, forwarding for report petition from the residents of Nagadi regarding the performance of puja of the Sri Kote Rameswara Swami temple at Nagadi on the Saivagama system, I have the honor to state that owing to the difficulty of procuring the Archaks of Saivagama system

the Asste. Commissioner, Closepet Sub division, solicited sanction for the performance of the puja in Vaideekagama manner and accordingly permission was sought for in this Office Rakkari N°. 312 of 9th December 1905 which was accorded in Govt. Pro. N° 3349 of 16th February 1906. The order was duly communicated to the Taluk for information and guidance. The Asste. Commissioner now reports that the devotees are not willing to have the worship on the Saivagama system which was in vogue for a considerable long period, now changed into Vaideeka-gama system and requests that permission may be kindly granted to revive the old system alone.

Further one Ramadeekshatha conversant with both systems is now reported to be happily available. He is willing to do the puja service if he is appointed permanently.

2. Under these circumstances, I request your sanction to the revival of the Saivagama puja and the appointment of Rama deekshetha as the Archak of the temple

3. A sum of Rs. 100/- is requested to be sanctioned for defraying the necessary Samprokshana expenses. The amount asked for appears to be rather high. The Amildar has not reported what was realized from the temple lands during the last two years. The particulars are called for from him. I request

that sanction may be accorded to defray the necessary expenses but not exceeding the realizations from the Dhan lands during the period of attachment

4 The enclosures received with the above are resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant.

Asstt Collector
by. Commissioner
MG

Draft as per orders

Muznai

Read,

Govt Circ no 3349 dated 16th February 1906, Sanctioning the revival and performance of worship in the Sri Rameswara temple in Nagadi fort, according to the Vydeeka Agama owing to the difficulty of procuring an Archak versed in the Saivagama Sastra.

Petition registered no. 5549 dated 23rd June 1906, from the residents of Nagadi, praying for the revival of Puje according To Saivagama System.

Letter no. 108 dated 18th January 1907, from the Deputy Commr Bangalore District reporting on the above.

No. 3840 5/8 2.07

Order thereon no.

In the letter read above, the
Dy Commissioner reports that
one Rama Deekshita conversant
with both systems of Agama
Worship is available, and that
he is willing to do the Puje
Service if he is appointed
permanently and requests
Sanction to the revival of
Saivagama Puje and the
appointment of Rama Deekshita
Archak of the temple,
& also for a sum of Rs 100/-
for defraying the necessary
Sumprokshane expenses. That
Officer further states that
the realizations from the
temple lands during the
last 2 years have not
been intimated by the
Amildar and requests
that Sanction may be
accorded to an expenditure

on Samprokshane. ~~[illegible]~~ for

a sum not exceeding the

said realizations. The amount

of such realizations and the expenditure incurred on account of the Samprokshani should be reported.

2, Under the foregoing circumstances, the Govt. are pleased to approve of the Dy. Commissioner's proposals.

3. The petitioners should ~~may~~ be informed accordingly.

(By Govt's order) 1/2/07

Secretary.

2, 1/2/07

The Dy. Commr. Bangalore Distt. returning the enclo



Sl 18

The Inspector secretary
Mysore Government.

Petitioner

Komara Deeeshita Archika
of Sri Ramaiswara swami &
other temples Nagadi Fort.

Nadu govt Kacheri
No. 274 of 21

Petitioner begs to submit:—

That in re the appointment of Archi=
=ka-ship of Sri Ramaiswara swamy
and other temples in Nagadi Fort;
your Honor in endorsement No 1421/2 Comm 376-98
dated 17th July 1908: the Deputy Commis=
=sioner has been asked to select a
competent man from the Petitioner's
family for the Archika post before
appointing an outsider.

That although his appeal is not
disposed off finally as yet, he submitted
a petition to the Amildar to confer the
appointment on one Mudappa Deeeshi=
=ta, on which he was favoured with an
endorsement on the 3rd January 1907
to produce ~~the~~ man for the said post.
That on 25-.-90 he accordingly produced

produced the man before the Amildar who gave an endorsement to the effect that as the appointment is given to one by name Rama Deeshita by your Honor's Department as per proceedings No. 3840/8-2-07 which is communicated to the Amildar by the Division Officer in Order No. 652/8-2-07 the Amildar cannot interfere in the matter.

That the said Rama Deeshita who has been appointed for the post is a stranger and who has no semblence of any right for the post.

That the petitioner is an old man, as such, if the appointment is given to one of his family members namely Mudappa Deeshita as per your Honor's previous proceedings, he can pull on with his life as he would maintain him.

Under the above circumstances the petitioner prays to cancel the Order for the newly appointed man, and that the appointment in accordance with your Honor's Proceedings No. 3840/8-2-07 be conferred on Mudappa Deeshi, who is a close relative of the petitioner.

For which act of kindness the petitioner begs to remain your Honor's obedient servant

1/3/907

Mus. 1 A]

190

From Sl[illegible]

To

The Dy: Commissioner,
Bangalore &t

Dated 2

Despatched March 1907

Received

General No. 4253

No.

Enclosure No. 5

Adverting to Govt. Pro: No. 3840, dated 8th February 1907 sanctioning the deputy Commissioner's proposals in the matter of the revival of worship in & also the appointment of one Rama Deekshit as Archak of the Sri Rameswara temple at Nagadi Fort, has the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted petitions from Thumara Deekshit praying that the Archakship may be conferred

x No. 3889 D/ 20-2-07
" 4031 D/ 28-2-07
" 4043 D/ 1-3-07

Conferred on one Mudappa Deekshita nominated by him. In this connection, the Deputy Commissioner's attention is invited to para 5 of Govt. Pro: No. 133-42, dated 17th July 1903 and he is requested to study ~~& to enquire~~ whether as directed therein enquiries were made as to whether there is any one in the petitioner's family competent to fill the post & whether the person now appointed bears any relationship to him and if not, how in the face of the Govt. order, proposals were submitted recommending a foreigner and sanction obtained therefor ~~which were sanctioned~~ in the first of the order cited above.

By order

Sd/-) 2/3/07

Secretary

No. 4254. AN 2/3/04. 2.3.07

Endt. to the petitioner

- 20 ಡಿ.ಸಿ -

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಿಮೇರೆಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

By order

Sd/-)

Secretary

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
Fern Hill, Ooty, 27th April 1907

No. 2792
1

4994
2-5-07

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Muzrai Department, Bangalore, for disposal in continuation of this Office No. 2137 dated 4-2-07.

No. 1398 of 06-07.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

4819
23-4-07

2

[illegible]
23/4/07

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 1907 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 1894 [illegible]
[illegible] 17-7-1903 [illegible] 133-42 [illegible] 6-98
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] 100 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

4994
2.5.07.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ

ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯ ನಾಮಪತ್ರ

ಸನ್ 1904 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿಯ ಕಾಜಾರು ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 1 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಸುಮಾರು 1 ಎಕರೆ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕಾಲೇಜು ಕಟ್ಟಡಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಆ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ 1894 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ತಾ|17-7-1903 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ನಂ 133-42 ನೇ ಕಲಂ ಅ-ಯಿಂದ 6-ಗ್ರಿ ವರೆಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗದ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗದ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಇಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಇದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗದ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗದ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಈ ಅನೇಕರು ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಅಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ಪರಿಹಾರದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಅಪೀಲುಗಳು ಡಿಸ್ಮಿಸ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದವು ಆದರೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗದ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಿದ್ದು

Ack
Rect
24.4.07

M. R. Sreekanta Sastry.

Narayana Sastry

Mus. 1 1]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched 18.5.07

Received

General No. 5394

No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to Govt Secs no 3840 dated 8th February 1907 sanctioning the Deputy Comm's proposals in the matter of the renewal of worship etc in the Sri Rameswara temple at Magadi Fort and this office docket no 4253 dated 2nd March 1907, has the honor to forward herewith the marginally-noted petitions signed by a number of residents of Magadi re the

no 4819 dy 23 4 1907
" 4996/1398 dy 2:5 1907 addressed to H H the Maharaja.

GPB—3000—21 7 06

affairs of the temple and to request that a detailed report already called for on the subject may be submitted at an early date.

By order,

(Sgd) [signature] 5/5/05

Secretary

Sent to the petitioner

[signature]

11/5/05

Mluz 1 A]

190

From SL 13

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. _____
No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this office no 4397 dated 2d April 1906, has the honor to forward herewith for disposal another petition from Subrahmanya Deekshith praying for the Archakship of the Sri Rameswaraswamy temple in Magadi Fort In this Connection, the Dy Commrs attention is invited to

no 5391 Dy 28th may 1904

GPB—3000—21 7 06

this office no. 5395 dated
18th may 1907 and he is
requested to expedite the
submission of the reports
~~referred to~~ therein called for

By order,

Sd/-

Secretary

Encl. to the petitioners.

1876/07

Muz 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190 -190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this Office No 4397 date 2nd April 1906, has the honor to forward herewith for disposal another petition from Subramanya Deekshit praying for the Archak-ship of the Sri Rames-waraswamy temple in Magadi Fort. In

GPL—1000—21 7 06

No X 5391/D 28.5.'07

this connection the Deputy Commissioner's attention is invited to this office No 5395 dated 18th May 1907, and he is requested to expedite the submission of the report therein called for.

By order,

Secretary

Muz. 21]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

Secretary

1906 ?

5803
27/6/07

From
The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To
The Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

27/11/07

Dated 25th
Despatched June 1907.
Received
General No.
R-S No. 189

Note submitted for orders
by 31/7/07

Enclosure No. 1 to

His Nos. 4253 & 5359 of
21st March & 18th May 1907
respectively. —

Reports re the Archaka-ship of Sri Kote Ramaswami temple at Nagadi

Bangalore District

Ms. No. 189
E-4-22.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th June 1907.

From,
H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,
L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir, With reference to the marginally noted communication, regarding the archakship of Sri Kote Rameswara swami temple at Magady, I have the honor to state that since the dismissal of Ramadeekshita from service three persons namely Sadasiva Deekshita, Subrahmanya Deekshita and Ramadeekshita were appointed, one after another as archaks and

No 4253 dated 2nd March 1907
No 5355 dated 16th May 1907

and the Government were also
kind enough to convey sanction
for the proposals made by this
Office from time to time. These
persons not being the natives
of the place failed to settle
themselves at Mayady. Thus
the worship has been entirely stopped
for the last four years for want
of a proper man. Now Mr. C. Devaraj
urs, Assistant Commissioner
in charge of the Taluk, to whom
Komara Deekshita's petition (re-
-ceived with your No. 4253 dated
3rd March 1907) was forwarded
for enquiry and report, states
in his No. 1803 dated 2nd May 1907,
that the behaviour of Komara-
-Deekshita has of late quite changed
from what it was before and
that he has been keeping a good
character. Considering

circumstances into which Komara Deekshita has been thrown by his dismissal from the Archakship and the punishment he has thus undergone for the last 7 years by being kept out of employment, he (the Divisional Officer) recommends that either Komara Deekshita or any other man on his behalf may be appointed for the post. He also states that though the previous records go against Komara Deekshita yet under the present circumstances he is in favor of giving him a trial. From the report of the Amildar, it will be seen that no persons of this community are available at the place and that there is no use of appointing outsiders as there is very little chance of their settling in the place and perform the worship without interruption. The institution

is an ancient and important one.

2. Under the above circumstances, I beg to support the recommendation of the Assistant Commissioner that Komara-Deekshita may be given an opportunity and placed in charge of the temple, on trial for one year and his confirmation being considered after that period. If, however, the Government consider this step as inexpedient one Muddappa Dikshit, a relation of Komara Deekshit may be appointed as

3. The entire file of Vernacular correspondence ending with the Assistant Commissioners' vakil No. 1803 dated 2nd May 1907 is here-with forwarded.

and Kind return. The enclosures
received with the dockets un-
-der reference are also herewith
returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Captain Thelwall.

for Dy Commissioner
Ins.

1906-7 SC 26

586 C/F
27.6.

From
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To
The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
in Agrl Dept.

27/6/07

Dated 25.
Despatched June 1907.
Received
General No.
R. & No. 190
Enclosure No. 14

H/o No-5957-J 28.6.06

Reports with records on the petition of Komara Deekshita of Nagadi re the alleged alienation by him of lands belonging to certain temples.

Ms. No. 190

E. & C.

Bangalore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Bangalore 25th June 1907.

From H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To, L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore.
Mugrai Department.

Sir, With reference to your Official Memorandum No. 5957 dated 28th June 1906, forwarding for report petition from Komaraddishta of Magady, stating that the lands belonging to the temples of Kote Rameswara and Someswara at the place have not been alienated by him and that the complaint made is

is a false one, etc. I have the
honor to invite reference
to correspondence ending
with this Office No 47 dated
21st November 1904 and also
to my letter No 189 of this
date on the subject wherein
the matter has been reported
in detail.

2. The enclosures received
with the Memo under ref-
-erence are herewith returned

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Reginald Kelly

Draft as per ~~x~~ er
Murugan

Sl 27

Read.—

Petition registered no 404 dated 1st march 1904, from Kumara Deekshith of Nagari praying that the Archakship of the Sri Rameswara temple at the place might be ~~upon him~~ ~~conferred on one~~ Muddappa ~~Deekshith~~ restored to him or if this cannot be done, his nominee Muddappa Deekshit might be appointed instead.

Letter no 189 dated 25th June 1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt recommending the ~~re-appointment~~ reinstatement as Archak of the temple of Kumara Deekshith on the grounds of his having improved in his conduct, ~~on trial for one year~~, or if that proposal is not approved, that his nominee Muddappa Deekshith may be appointed instead.

No. 27 H.D. 20-7-07
Excls. sent separately
Ou: E.C.

Order thereon no

More than one person
was appointed as the Archak
of the Sri Rameswara temple
at Nagari since the dismissal
of Kumara Deekshitha, but
they have all since left,
and the institution is left
without worship being performed therein
The Dy. Comm. recommends in the letter read above,
that
under the circumstances, ~~as~~ ~~the~~
~~Collector may observe that~~ Kumara
Deekshith may be given an
opportunity and placed temporarily
in charge of the temple for
one year or that if Govt
considers it inexpedient, Muddappa
a relation of Kumara Deekshit
Deekshith, may be appointed
as Archak of the temple.
The Govt. observe that the
past history of Kumara Deekshith
as regards his moral conduct
has been very bad and he
was also instrumental in
alienating the temple lands
and pledging the temple jewels,

~~They~~ They therefore consider it undesirable in the interests of discipline to appoint him again as the Archak of the temple

however

2) The Govt are ~~further~~ pleased to direct that as there is no other way for arranging the worship in the temple, his nominee Nuvvappa Veerabhadrappa may be appointed Archak temporarily on trial for one year, after the expiry of which, final orders as to his confirmation or otherwise will be issued

13/7/07

By order
Secretary

No 275

To,

The Dy Commr Bangalore

Dist returning the Vem- pp

Encl: to the Lett:

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
455
28.7.08.
REVENUE SECRETARIAT

1908. SL29

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 25th

Despatched 27th July 1908.

Received

General No.
R. S. No 27

Enclosure No. 1 & CC

Refer Govt. Order
Confirmed vide
Lr 2817 dtd
28/7/08 CK

submitted
1/8/08

Govt. No. N-274 of 25.7.08

—

Reports re the Archakship
of Sri Rameshwara Swami
temple at Nagadi. —

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I.S.No.27 Dated Bangalore, 25th July 1908.

From

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

K. S. Chendrasekhara Aiyar Esquire B.A.,

Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

(Muzrai Branch)

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.274 dated the 20th July 1907, sanctioning the appointment of one - Muddappa Deekshita as Archak of Sri Rameswaraswami Temple at - Magady, I have the honor to state that the Closepet Sub-Division Officer in his No.52 dated the 14th July 1908, recommends the appointment of one Rama Deekshita for the post, Muddappa Deekshita referred to above having since died.

2. It is further reported that Kumara Deekshita (former Archak and now dismissed) has no objection to the appointment of Rama Deekshita for the post, as the latter has agreed to pay towards the maintenance of the former.

3. In concurring with the Sub-Division Officer, I beg to forward herewith the entire file of correspondence bearing on the subject for the Orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik

1908.9 SL30

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
454
28-7-08
CENTRAL SECRETARIAT

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Dated 25th

Despatched July 1908.

Received

General No.
M.S No 28

Enclosure No. 1 to 6

His No-2117 of 30.10.07.

Recommends the appointment of one Rama Dikshit as Archak of the temples of Sri Rameshwara Swami at Mapadi.

Refer
M/
continue rule
M 28/7/08
CS

Submitted
A.J.
1/8/08

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

.S.No.28. Dated Bangalore, 25th July 1908.

5. 14 Co

rom

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

K. S. Chendrasekhara Aiyar Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

(Muzrai Branch).

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.2117 dated the 30th November 1907, regarding the Archakship of certain minor temples situated within the precincts of the Sri Ramaswaraswami temple at Magady, I have the honor to state that Muddappa Deekshita, a nominee of Kumara Deekshita referred to therein having since died, the Closepet Sub-Division Officer in his No.53 dated the 14th July 1908, recommends the appointment of one Rama Deekshita referred to in the Government Proceedings No.3840 dated the 8th February 1907, as the Archak for the temples in question.

2. I agree with the Sub-Division Officer and forward the entire file of correspondence bearing on the subject for the - Orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

Dft for approval

Murgan

Cl. 31

6-98

Read;

Govt Secr no 274 Dy 20th July 1907 sanctioning the temporary appointment of Muddappa Deekshith as Archak of the temple of Sri Rameswaraswamy at Nagadi.

The marginally-noted communications from the Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore District, reporting that Muddappa Deekshith is dead and recommending the appointment of Rama Deekshith as Archak of the said temple and also of certain minor temples situated within the precincts of the institution.

Letters nos. 29 and 28 dated 25th July 1907

No. 694-50/14.8.08.
Recd. 2 files

For Secy. Govt.